

Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards Draft Standard

Application of Hydrocarbon Phase Behavior Modeling in Upstream Measurement and Allocation Systems

FIRST EDITION, AUGUST 2016

THIS DOCUMENT IS A PROSPECTIVE STANDARD FOR PROVISIONAL APPLICATION.
This draft is strictly for industry review. Review of this document throughout the industry is desirable and encouraged. All comments should be sent to:

American Petroleum Institute
1220 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
USA
standards@api.org

There will be a review period ending two years after the publication date of this document. Comments, suggestions, and corrections received during the review period will be incorporated as appropriate following normal API procedures.



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Classified areas may vary depending on the location, conditions, equipment, and substances involved in any given situation. Users of this standard should consult with the appropriate authorities having jurisdiction.

Users of this draft standard should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Shall: As used in a standard, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the specification.

Should: As used in a standard, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the specification.

May: As used in a standard, “may” denotes a course of action permissible within the limits of a standard.

Can: As used in a standard, “can” denotes a statement of possibility or capability.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Contents

1	Scope	1
2	Normative References	1
3	Terms, Definitions, Abbreviations, and Symbols	1
3.1	Terms and Definitions	1
3.2	Abbreviations and Symbols	3
4	Relevance and Applicability of Hydrocarbon Phase Behavior Modeling	4
5	Development of a PSM Using an EOS Description of Phase Behavior	5
5.1	General	5
5.2	Agreements	6
5.3	Functional Specification	6
6	Implementation of a PSM Using an EOS Description of Phase Behavior	9
6.1	General	9
6.2	Sample Data Requirements	9
6.3	Compositional Component Validation	9
6.4	Sample Conditions vs Allocation Conditions	13
6.5	Input Process Data Validation	15
6.6	PSM Validation	16
7	Performance Management	16
7.1	General	16
7.2	Functional Specification Review	17
7.3	Functional Specification Modifications	17
7.4	Re-validation	17
7.5	Performance Monitoring and Reporting	17
7.6	Out-of-tolerance Performance Management	18
	Annex A (informative) Example Allocation PFD	19
	Annex B (informative) Comprehensive Compositional Component List Determination Example	20
	Annex C (informative) Example Compositional Component Validation Acceptance Criteria Uncertainty Ranges	30
	Annex D (informative) <i>K</i>-value Plots for VLE Determination	31
	Annex E (informative) EOS-calculated Compositions from Combined Streams Example	37
	Annex F (informative) Overall Material and Energy Balance Validation Example	39
	Annex G (informative) Internal Balance Validation Example	43
	Bibliography	47
	Figures	
1	General Application of a PSM for Hydrocarbon Allocations	6
2	Example Model Domain of a PSM	11
3a	Example of an EOS Under-prediction on Bubble Point Pressure	12
3b	Example of Random Error between EOS-predicted Bubble Point Pressure and Sample Pressure	12
4	Compositional Component Validation Flowchart	14
A1	Example Allocation PFD	19
D.1	Typical <i>K</i>-value Plot	31
D.2	Separation Diagram	32

Contents

D.3	Plotted Results Indicating VLE	34
D.4	K -value Plot with Trendline and R^2 Value	35
E.1	EOS-calculated Compositions from Combined Streams Diagram	37
G.1	Example Allocation PFD	46
Tables		
B.1	C ₆₊ Component List	20
B.2	C ₇₊ Component List	20
B.3	Decanes Plus (C ₁₀₊) Component List	23
B.4	C ₆ Components and Properties	24
B.5	C ₇ Components and Properties	24
B.6	C ₈ Components and Properties	25
B.7	C ₉ Components and Properties	25
B.8	C ₆ Alkanes, Naphthenes, and Aromatics and Their Surrogates	25
B.9	C ₇ Alkanes, Naphthenes, and Aromatics and Their Surrogates	26
B.10	C ₈ Alkanes, Naphthenes, and Aromatics and Their Surrogates	26
B.11	C ₉ Alkanes and Naphthenes and Their Surrogates	26
B.12	Component List Derived from Extended Analysis	27
B.13	Sample Comparison Using 30 °API Liquid	28
B.14	Sample Comparison Using 55 °API Liquid	29
D.1	Component Mole Percentage from Liquid and Gas Samples with Component Critical Temperatures	32
D.2	Calculation Results for K -value Plot Points	34
D.3	Calculations for Best-fit Line	35
D.4	Calculations for Coefficient of Determination	36
E.1	EOS-calculated Compositions from Combined Streams Example	38
F.1	Sales and Consumption	39
F.2	Model Inputs/Outputs	40
F.3	Validation Parameters	41
G.1	Example Comparison of Calculated and Collected Field Data	45

Introduction

This document establishes a framework to develop, implement, and manage the application of hydrocarbon phase behavior modeling in upstream measurement and allocation systems. The applied phase behavior modeling addressed in this document refers to a process simulation model (PSM) incorporating an equation of state (EOS) description of the phase behavior, or pressure, volume, temperature (PVT) properties, of the fluids within the modeled process. The intent of this document is to provide operators with a consistent and transparent approach for applying and managing an EOS-based PSM within an upstream measurement and allocation system. It is not intended to prescribe a particular mathematical phase estimation (i.e. EOS), process simulation (i.e. PSM), or allocation method. Allocation methodologies are addressed in API *MPMS* Chapter 20.1.

Application of Hydrocarbon Phase Behavior Modeling in Upstream Measurement and Allocation Systems

1 Scope

This document provides requirements and guidelines for the application of hydrocarbon phase behavior modeling in upstream measurement and allocation systems. The requirements and guidelines apply to the development, implementation, and performance management of a process simulation model (PSM) incorporating an equation of state (EOS) description of phase behavior. This includes functional specifications, validation, and maintenance of the PSM, EOS specification and implementation, and fluid compositional specification and validation.

2 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

API Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards (MPMS) Chapter 20.1, Allocation Measurement

API MPMS Chapter 20.2, Production Allocation Measurement Using Single-phase Devices

API MPMS Chapter 20.3, Measurement of Multiphase Flow

API MPMS Chapter 21.1, Electronic Gas Measurement

API Recommended Practice 551, Process Measurement Instrumentation

3 Terms, Definitions, Abbreviations, and Symbols

3.1 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1.1

acentric factor

An EOS parameter that provides enhanced temperature dependence of the intermolecular potential of complex fluids from simple ideal fluids.

3.1.2

actual conditions

Conditions of pressure and temperature of the fluid at the point where fluid properties or flows are measured.

3.1.3

allocation

The mathematical process of determining the proportion of produced fluids from individual entities (zones, wells, fields, leases, or producing units) when compared with the total production from the entire system (reservoir, production system, and gathering systems) in order to determine value or ownership to attribute to each entity.

3.1.4

binary interaction parameter

An EOS fitting parameter used to account for the nonideal interaction between molecules that addresses the difference between association terms in the EOS model compared with experimental data.