

Use of Duplex Stainless Steels in Hydroprocessing Reactor Effluent Air Coolers (REACs)

API TECHNICAL REPORT 932-C
FIRST EDITION, AUGUST 2022



Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed. The use of API publications is voluntary. In some cases, third parties or authorities having jurisdiction may choose to incorporate API standards by reference and may mandate compliance.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Users of this standard should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

API is not undertaking to meet the duties of employers, manufacturers, or suppliers to warn and properly train and equip their employees, and others exposed, concerning health and safety risks and precautions, nor undertaking their obligations to comply with authorities having jurisdiction.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the publisher, API Publishing Services, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

The verbal forms used to express the provisions in this document are as follows.

Shall: As used in a standard, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the standard.

Should: As used in a standard, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the standard.

May: As used in a standard, “may” denotes a course of action permissible within the limits of a standard.

Can: As used in a standard, “can” denotes a statement of possibility or capability.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001, standards@api.org.

Contents

| | Page |
|---|------|
| 1 Executive Summary | 1 |
| 2 Scope..... | 2 |
| 3 Terms, Definitions, and Acronyms..... | 2 |
| 3.1 Terms and Definitions | 2 |
| 3.2 Acronyms | 3 |
| 4 Introduction | 4 |
| 4.1 Survey Objectives..... | 4 |
| 4.2 Background on the Use of DSS in Petroleum Refinery Hydroprocessing REACs | 4 |
| 4.3 Summary | 6 |
| 5 Survey Results and Discussion | 6 |
| 5.1 Survey | 6 |
| 5.2 Survey Response Statistics | 10 |
| 5.3 Fabrication and Design Variables..... | 12 |
| 5.4 Operating and Process Variables | 16 |
| 5.5 In-service Inspection Practices and Findings | 25 |
| 5.6 Summary of Observations from the Survey Responses | 26 |
| 6 Assessment of the Likelihood of DSS REAC Systems for SSC..... | 28 |
| 6.1 Step 1: Evaluate Effectiveness of Ferrite Control During Fabrication..... | 28 |
| 6.2 Step 2: Assign a Likelihood Rating Using the Result from Step 1 and Environmental Severity | 30 |
| 6.3 Step 3: Adjust the Likelihood Ranking from Step 2 Based on In-service Crack Detection Inspections | 32 |
| 7 HEAC Failures During High Pressure Hydrogen Tightness Testing | 35 |
| 8 Conclusions..... | 36 |
| Annex A (informative) Survey Results..... | 38 |
| Bibliography | 39 |
| Figures | |
| 1 Histogram of Time in Service without Cracking of 2205 DSS REACs in the Survey | 11 |
| 2 Time to Failure or Identification of Cracking of 2205 DSS in REAC Systems (includes REACs and Piping)..... | 11 |
| 3 Design Pressure | 12 |
| 4 Maximum Header Box Plate Thickness Reported for 28 Cases..... | 13 |
| 5 Minimum Header Box Plate Thickness Reported for 28 Cases..... | 13 |
| 6 Plate Thicknesses Are Not Strongly Correlated to Design Pressure | 14 |
| 7 Operating Pressure | 17 |
| 8 Operating Pressure Versus Design Pressure | 17 |
| 9 Inlet Temperature for REACs in Survey..... | 18 |
| 10 Outlet Temperatures for REACs in Survey..... | 19 |
| 11 NH ₄ HS Concentration for Cases With and Without Weldment Cracking | 20 |
| 12 Operating Pressure Plotted Versus NH ₄ HS Concentration for the Cases with Weldment Cracking Reported in the Survey Responses | 21 |
| 13 Operating Pressure Plotted Versus NH ₄ HS Concentration for Survey Cases with API RP 932-B Data Included..... | 21 |
| 14 Feed N and Sour Water NH ₄ HS Show Some Correlation | 22 |
| 15 Hydrogen Partial Pressure Responses..... | 22 |
| 16 Separator Sour Water Concentration..... | 23 |

Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| 17 Plot to Assign Likelihood for SSC with Unfavorable Ferrite Control | 30 |
| 18 Plot to Assign Likelihood for SSC with Favorable Ferrite Control | 31 |
| 19 Criteria for Low, Medium, and High Susceptibility to Cracking Shown with Experience Data from Figure 13 | 32 |
| 20 SSC Likelihood with Unfavorable Ferrite Control and with At Least Two Highly Effective In-service Crack Detection Inspections Without Indications Being Identified | 34 |
| 21 SSC Likelihood with Favorable Ferrite Control and with At Least Two Highly Effective In-service Crack Detection Inspections Without Indications Being Identified | 34 |

Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| 1 API DSS Survey Form | 7 |
| 2 Summary of Survey Statistics | 10 |
| 3 Survey Responses Regarding Material of Construction | 14 |
| 4 Survey Responses Covering Welding and Fabrication | 15 |
| 5 Summary of Inlet and Outlet Temperatures of REACs | 18 |
| 6 Inlet and Outlet Temperatures for REACs with Weldment Cracking | 18 |
| 7 Separator Sour Water pH Range | 19 |
| 8 pH of Sour Water Reported for Cases with Weldment Cracking | 19 |
| 9 Sour Water NH ₄ HS Reported in Survey Responses | 20 |
| 10 Responses to Process Related Questions | 23 |
| 11 In-service Inspection Practices and Findings | 26 |
| 12 Operational and Process Variables Without Correlation to Weldment Cracking | 27 |
| 13 Operational and Process Variables that May Have Some Correlation to Weldment Cracking | 27 |
| 14 Worksheet for Assessing Ferrite Control of 2205 DSS REACs | 29 |
| 15 Likelihood Terminology | 31 |
| 16 Inspection Effectiveness for SSC of DSS Header Box Weldments | 33 |
| 17 Slow Strain Rate Tensile Ductility Data on 2507 Flange from Reference 14 | 36 |

Use of Duplex Stainless Steels in REAC Systems

1 Executive Summary

This technical report covers results of a survey of the petroleum refining industry concerning the use of duplex stainless steel (DSS) in hydroprocessing reactor effluent air cooler (REAC) systems. The API Committee on Refining Equipment Subcommittee on Corrosion and Materials (SCCM) commissioned this survey after several industry reports of weldment cracking failures with DSS REAC system components, including REAC header boxes, tube-to-tubesheet welds, and associated piping. REAC component weldment cracking has been addressed in SCCM documents, including API Recommended Practice 932-B and API Technical Report 938-C, in published conference papers, and in a report issued by the Materials Technology Institute (MTI).

Survey results and information from prior studies are used to summarize the weldment cracking issue and to prepare an approach for assessing the likelihood for in-service weldment cracking of DSS REAC system components.

Two types of weldment cracking are discussed:

- 1) in-service sulfide stress cracking (SSC) at weldments; and
- 2) hydrogen environment assisted cracking (HEAC) occurring during tightness testing in high-pressure hydrogen.

Most previously reported cases of REAC weldment cracking were from SSC due to elevated ferrite content in welds and heat affected zones (HAZ). An issue with DSS use in REAC environments has been that no effective nondestructive method for measuring ferrite at weld HAZ is available. Ferrite is controlled through control of welding parameters and welding procedure specification development. However, without a nondestructive test method for effectively measuring localized regions of high ferrite at weld HAZ, there is no assurance that high ferrite zones are not present.

This report provides a three-step process for assessing the likelihood of SSC in existing DSS REAC system components. It involves an evaluation of ferrite control during fabrication, a measure of environmental severity, and a consideration of in-service crack detection inspection results. It is suggested that this assessment process be used to prioritize metallurgy upgrades and in-service inspections.

For new hydroprocessing REAC system components, most refining operators are specifying Alloy 825 or Alloy 625 to avoid the risk of weldment cracking with DSS. Also, many refineries are upgrading existing DSS REAC components to Alloy 825 or 625 to avoid weldment cracking. Where DSS remains in service, the following may be evaluated:

- degree of confidence that highly effective crack-detection inspections can be completed and what inspection frequency is required to detect cracks prior to loss of containment;
- accessibility of weldments for inspection that is often limited; this influences the ability to perform highly effective crack-detection inspections;
- effect of surface temperature on inspection quality if crack-detection inspection is to be done while the unit is operating.