



CSA R113:22
National Standard of Canada



Indicators for rural community well-being, services, and quality of life



Legal Notice for Standards

Canadian Standards Association (operating as “CSA Group”) develops standards through a consensus standards development process approved by the Standards Council of Canada. This process brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve consensus and develop a standard. Although CSA Group administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in achieving consensus, it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the content of standards.

Disclaimer and exclusion of liability

This document is provided without any representations, warranties, or conditions of any kind, express or implied, including, without limitation, implied warranties or conditions concerning this document’s fitness for a particular purpose or use, its merchantability, or its non-infringement of any third party’s intellectual property rights. CSA Group does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, or currency of any of the information published in this document. CSA Group makes no representations or warranties regarding this document’s compliance with any applicable statute, rule, or regulation.

IN NO EVENT SHALL CSA GROUP, ITS VOLUNTEERS, MEMBERS, SUBSIDIARIES, OR AFFILIATED COMPANIES, OR THEIR EMPLOYEES, DIRECTORS, OR OFFICERS, BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES, INJURY, LOSS, COSTS, OR EXPENSES, HOWSOEVER CAUSED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, LOST REVENUE, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION, LOST OR DAMAGED DATA, OR ANY OTHER COMMERCIAL OR ECONOMIC LOSS, WHETHER BASED IN CONTRACT, TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE), OR ANY OTHER THEORY OF LIABILITY, ARISING OUT OF OR RESULTING FROM ACCESS TO OR POSSESSION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT, EVEN IF CSA GROUP HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES, INJURY, LOSS, COSTS, OR EXPENSES.

In publishing and making this document available, CSA Group is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity or to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to another person or entity. The information in this document is directed to those who have the appropriate degree of experience to use and apply its contents, and CSA Group accepts no responsibility whatsoever arising in any way from any and all use of or reliance on the information contained in this document.

CSA Group is a private not-for-profit company that publishes voluntary standards and related documents. CSA Group has no power, nor does it undertake, to enforce compliance with the contents of the standards or other documents it publishes.

Intellectual property rights and ownership

As between CSA Group and the users of this document (whether it be in printed or electronic form), CSA Group is the owner, or the authorized licensee, of all works contained herein that are protected by copyright, all trade-marks (except as otherwise noted to the contrary), and all inventions and trade secrets that may be contained in this document, whether or not such inventions and trade secrets are protected by patents and applications for patents. Without limitation, the unauthorized use, modification, copying, or disclosure of this document may violate laws that protect CSA Group’s and/or others’ intellectual property and may give rise to a right in CSA Group and/or others to seek legal redress for such use, modification, copying, or disclosure. To the extent permitted by licence or by law, CSA Group reserves all intellectual property rights in this document.

Patent rights

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this standard may be the subject of patent rights. CSA Group shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights is entirely their own responsibility.

Authorized use of this document

This document is being provided by CSA Group for informational and non-commercial use only. The user of this document is authorized to do only the following:

If this document is in electronic form:

- load this document onto a computer for the sole purpose of reviewing it;
- search and browse this document; and
- print this document if it is in PDF format.

Limited copies of this document in print or paper form may be distributed only to persons who are authorized by CSA Group to have such copies, and only if this Legal Notice appears on each such copy.

In addition, users may not and may not permit others to

- alter this document in any way or remove this Legal Notice from the attached standard;
- sell this document without authorization from CSA Group; or
- make an electronic copy of this document.

If you do not agree with any of the terms and conditions contained in this Legal Notice, you may not load or use this document or make any copies of the contents hereof, and if you do make such copies, you are required to destroy them immediately. Use of this document constitutes your acceptance of the terms and conditions of this Legal Notice.



Standards Update Service

CSA R113:22
February 2022

Title: *Indicators for rural community well-being, services, and quality of life*

To register for e-mail notification about any updates to this publication

- go to www.csagroup.org/store/
- click on **Product Updates**

The **List ID** that you will need to register for updates to this publication is **2429887**.

If you require assistance, please e-mail techsupport@csagroup.org or call 416-747-2233.

Visit CSA Group's policy on privacy at www.csagroup.org/legal to find out how we protect your personal information.

Canadian Standards Association (operating as “CSA Group”), under whose auspices this National Standard has been produced, was chartered in 1919 and accredited by the Standards Council of Canada to the National Standards system in 1973. It is a not-for-profit, nonstatutory, voluntary membership association engaged in standards development and certification activities.

CSA Group standards reflect a national consensus of producers and users — including manufacturers, consumers, retailers, unions and professional organizations, and governmental agencies. The standards are used widely by industry and commerce and often adopted by municipal, provincial, and federal governments in their regulations, particularly in the fields of health, safety, building and construction, and the environment.

More than 10 000 members indicate their support for CSA Group’s standards development by volunteering their time and skills to Committee work.

CSA Group offers certification and testing services in support of and as an extension to its standards development activities. To ensure the integrity of its certification process, CSA Group regularly and continually audits and inspects products that bear the CSA Group Mark.

In addition to its head office and laboratory complex in Toronto, CSA Group has regional branch offices in major centres across Canada and inspection and testing agencies in fourteen countries. Since 1919, CSA Group has developed the necessary expertise to meet its corporate mission: CSA Group is an independent service organization whose mission is to provide an open and effective forum for activities facilitating the exchange of goods and services through the use of standards, certification and related services to meet national and international needs.

For further information on CSA Group services, write to
CSA Group
178 Rexdale Boulevard
Toronto, Ontario, M9W 1R3
Canada

A National Standard of Canada is a standard developed by a Standards Council of Canada (SCC) accredited Standards Development Organization, in compliance with requirements and guidance set out by SCC. More information on National Standards of Canada can be found at www.scc.ca.

SCC is a Crown corporation within the portfolio of Innovation, Science and Economic Development (ISED) Canada. With the goal of enhancing Canada's economic competitiveness and social well-being, SCC leads and facilitates the development and use of national and international standards. SCC also coordinates Canadian participation in standards development, and identifies strategies to advance Canadian standardization efforts.

Accreditation services are provided by SCC to various customers, including product certifiers, testing laboratories, and standards development organizations. A list of SCC programs and accredited bodies is publicly available at www.scc.ca.

Standards Council of Canada
600-55 Metcalfe Street
Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 6L5
Canada



Cette Norme Nationale du Canada est disponible en versions française et anglaise.

Although the intended primary application of this Standard is stated in its Scope, it is important to note that it remains the responsibility of the users to judge its suitability for their particular purpose.

®A trademark of the Canadian Standards Association, operating as “CSA Group”

National Standard of Canada

CSA R113:22

Indicators for rural community well-being, services, and quality of life



*®A trademark of the Canadian Standards Association,
operating as “CSA Group”*



*Published in February 2022 by CSA Group
A not-for-profit private sector organization
178 Rexdale Boulevard, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M9W 1R3*

*To purchase standards and related publications, visit our Online Store at www.csagroup.org/store/
or call toll-free 1-800-463-6727 or 416-747-4044.*

*ICS 13.020.20
ISBN 978-1-4883-4166-3*

*© 2022 Canadian Standards Association
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form whatsoever
without the prior permission of the publisher.*

Contents

Technical Committee on Indicators for Rural Community Well-being, Services, and Quality of Life 3

Preface 5

0 Introduction 6

1 Scope 7

1.1 Overview 7

1.2 Users 7

1.3 Application 7

1.4 Terminology 8

2 Reference publications 8

3 Definitions and abbreviations 13

3.1 Definitions 13

3.2 Abbreviations 15

4 General instructions 16

4.1 Recommended data sources 16

4.2 Alignment between geographical scale of data and community 16

4.3 Locally collected data and assessing community-level data 16

4.4 Possible uses of this Standard 17

4.4.1 General 17

4.4.2 Questions to help guide in the use of this Standard 17

4.5 Understanding the rurality of specific location or community 17

4.6 Community type 18

4.7 Understanding the community profile 18

4.7.1 General 18

4.7.2 Climate zone 18

4.7.3 Other descriptive indicators 18

5 Economic indicators 19

5.1 General 19

5.2 Income 19

5.3 Informal economy 19

5.4 Internet 20

5.5 Employment 20

5.5.1 General 20

5.5.2 Indicators 20

5.5.3 Data sources 21

6 Environmental indicators 21

6.1 General 21

6.2 Transportation 21

6.3 Environmental hazards and climate change 22

6.3.1 General 22

6.3.2	Indicators	22
6.3.3	Data sources	22
6.4	Environmental protection	23
7	Health indicators	23
7.1	General	23
7.2	Access to health services	24
7.2.1	General	24
7.2.2	Indicators	24
7.2.3	Data sources	24
7.3	Mental health	24
7.4	Mortality	25
8	Housing indicators	25
8.1	Core housing need	25
8.2	Household size	26
8.3	Population — Households	26
9	Institutional indicators	27
9.1	General	27
9.2	Community member engagement	27
9.3	Governance	27
10	Population indicators	28
10.1	General	28
10.2	Population change	28
10.3	Population — Dwelling units	29
10.4	Population — Age characteristics	29
10.5	Population—dependency ratio	29
10.6	Population — Diversity	30
11	Social and cultural indicators	30
11.1	General	30
11.2	Crime	30
11.3	Cultural events	31
11.4	Education	31
11.5	Language	32
11.6	Volunteering	32
<hr/>		
Annex A (informative) — Understanding the rurality of a community		34
Annex B (informative) — Other community well-being indicator approaches		38
Annex C (informative) — Bibliography		39

Technical Committee on Indicators for Rural Community Well-being, Services, and Quality of Life

R. Bollman	Retired, Wolfville, Nova Scotia, Canada <i>Category: User Interest</i>	
R. Bornemann	Centre for Rural Economic Development, Infrastructure Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: Government and/or Regulatory Authority</i>	
C. Cirtwill	Northern Policy Institute, Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: User Interest</i>	
J. Conzon	Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada	<i>Non-voting</i>
S. Dickie	DND-DRDC, Centre for Security Science, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: User Interest</i>	
S. Duff	Omafra, Guelph, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: Government and/or Regulatory Authority</i>	
R. Gibson	University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada	<i>Non-voting</i>
H. Hall	University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: General Interest</i>	
L. Hallstrom	University of Alberta, Alberta Centre for Sustainable Rural Communities, Camrose, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: General Interest</i>	
H. Jafri	Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: Government and/or Regulatory Authority</i>	

W. Kelly	Rural Development Institute, Brandon University, Brandon, Manitoba, Canada	<i>Non-voting</i>
R. Leitch	Community Transformation Associates inc., Perth, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: Supplier/Contractor/Consultant Interest</i>	
B. Lowery	Canadian Rural Revitalization Foundation, St John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada	<i>Non-voting</i>
R. Mealy	Ruth Mealy Consulting, Minto, Manitoba, Canada <i>Category: Supplier/Contractor/Consultant Interest</i>	
A. Munro	Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada	<i>Non-voting</i>
B. Reimer	Concordia University, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada <i>Category: General Interest</i>	
N. Rogers	Community Transformation Associates, Inc. (CTA-Inc.), Perth, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: Supplier/Contractor/Consultant Interest</i>	
E. Sinclair	Rural Ontario Institute, Guelph, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: User Interest</i>	
M. Smailes	Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada <i>Category: Government and/or Regulatory Authority</i>	
J. Ward	The Harris Centre, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada <i>Category: General Interest</i>	
P. Steenhof	CSA Group, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada	<i>Project Manager</i>

Preface

This is the first edition of CSA R113, *Indicators for rural community well-being, services, and quality of life*.

This Standard was prepared by the CSA Technical Committee on Indicators for Rural Community Well-Being, Services, and Quality of Life, under the jurisdiction of the CSA Strategic Steering Committee on Organizational Management and Sustainability, and has been formally approved by the Technical Committee.

This Standard has been developed in compliance with Standards Council of Canada requirements for National Standards of Canada. It has been published as a National Standard of Canada by CSA Group.

Notes:

- 1) *Use of the singular does not exclude the plural (and vice versa) when the sense allows.*
- 2) *Although the intended primary application of this Standard is stated in its Scope, it is important to note that it remains the responsibility of the users of the Standard to judge its suitability for their particular purpose.*
- 3) *This Standard was developed by consensus, which is defined by CSA Policy governing standardization — Code of good practice for standardization as “substantial agreement. Consensus implies much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity”. It is consistent with this definition that a member may be included in the Technical Committee list and yet not be in full agreement with all clauses of this Standard.*
- 4) *To submit a request for interpretation of this Standard, please send the following information to inquiries@csagroup.org and include “Request for interpretation” in the subject line:*
 - a) *define the problem, making reference to the specific clause, and, where appropriate, include an illustrative sketch;*
 - b) *provide an explanation of circumstances surrounding the actual field condition; and*
 - c) *where possible, phrase the request in such a way that a specific “yes” or “no” answer will address the issue.*

Committee interpretations are processed in accordance with the CSA Directives and guidelines governing standardization and are available on the Current Standards Activities page at standardsactivities.csa.ca.
- 5) *This Standard is subject to review within five years from the date of publication. Suggestions for its improvement will be referred to the appropriate committee. To submit a proposal for change, please send the following information to inquiries@csagroup.org and include “Proposal for change” in the subject line:*
 - a) *Standard designation (number);*
 - b) *relevant clause, table, and/or figure number;*
 - c) *wording of the proposed change; and*
 - d) *rationale for the change.*

CSA R113:22

Indicators for rural community well-being, services, and quality of life

0 Introduction

Climate change, global economic trends, aging demographics, population migration, and the adoption of new disruptive technologies are affecting all regions in Canada. However, their manifestations and effects in rural areas are often quite different than in urban ones. Although Standards exist for indicators of quality of life in urban contexts, many of these are not readily applicable to rural contexts. In order to understand and address the particular nature of rural challenges and opportunities, rural communities need practical approaches to relevant data and analysis. Common rural characteristics such as sparse population, lack of infrastructure, and distance from the comprehensive services available in urban centres require a rurally oriented approach to indicators of community well-being and sustainability. In addition, while advances in open data and e-government initiatives have potentially increased access to rural data, small communities typically lack the capacity to access, analyze, and utilize data effectively. For data to be used effectively to understand and address rural challenges and opportunities, an approach that is sensitive to rurality is necessary. The rurality of a location is influenced by both density and distance. Low-density locales, or those that are a long distance from higher-density areas, often do not have access to the same goods and services as those higher-density areas. For the purposes of this Standard, therefore, the term “rural” includes other locales, such as communities in Canada’s North, which are also characterized by low density, long distances to density, or both.

A preliminary standardization landscape review revealed that although there are some indices and reports on national or urban well-being and sustainability, there are currently no Canadian Standards to guide rural and small-town communities in the consistent collection, reporting, and use of economic, environmental, and social data. International, regional, or National Standards in other countries were examined during consideration of the development of this Standard. Internationally, there are two Standards: ISO 37101 and ISO 37120, along with some related Standards for smart cities and resilient cities, but no equivalent Standard for rural communities.

For data to be useful in support of evidence-based decision-making, rural and small-town municipalities and related organizations need the ability to derive relevant data-driven insights in a consistent manner with limited resources. A standardized approach to a core set of rural community indicators is a key component that can facilitate turning data into understanding and understanding into action — to support well-being, prosperity, and sustainability in rural and small-town communities across Canada.

While there are some frequently used indicators of quality of life that are common to rural and urban populations (e.g., in the area of public health), rural and small-town communities often have different demographic, economic, and environmental concerns compared to urban areas. For example, access to basic services are frequently identified as high priorities in rural and small-town communities but are rarely mentioned in urban studies, so the assessment of conditions in rural communities often requires rural-specific indicators, or rural-driven data collection for common indicators. Similarly, indicators selected for measuring access to services might not be sensitive to rural conditions such as lack of roads or public transportation. The wide variety of specialized or ad hoc approaches to rural data have