

RTCA, Inc.
1150 18th Street, NW, Suite 910
Washington, D.C. 20036

Software Tool Qualification Considerations

Copies of this document may be obtained from

RTCA, Inc.

Telephone: 202-833-9339

Facsimile: 202-833-9434

Internet: www.rtca.org

Please visit the RTCA Online Store for document pricing and ordering information.

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by RTCA Special Committee 205 (SC-205) and EUROCAE Working Group 71 (WG-71) and approved by the RTCA Program Management Committee (PMC) on December 13, 2011.

RTCA, Incorporated is a not-for-profit corporation formed to advance the art and science of aviation and aviation electronic systems for the benefit of the public. The organization functions as a Federal Advisory Committee and develops consensus-based recommendations on contemporary aviation issues. RTCA's objectives include but are not limited to:

- coalescing aviation system user and provider technical requirements in a manner that helps government and industry meet their mutual objectives and responsibilities;
- analyzing and recommending solutions to the system technical issues that aviation faces as it continues to pursue increased safety, system capacity, and efficiency;
- developing consensus on the application of pertinent technology to fulfill user and provider requirements, including development of minimum operational performance standards for electronic systems and equipment that support aviation; and
- assisting in developing the appropriate technical material upon which positions for the International Civil Aviation Organization and the International Telecommunication Union and other appropriate international organizations can be based.

The organization's recommendations are often used as the basis for government and private sector decisions as well as the foundation for many Federal Aviation Administration Technical Standard Orders.

Since the RTCA is not an official agency of the United States Government, its recommendations may not be regarded as statements of official government policy unless so enunciated by the U.S. government organization or agency having statutory jurisdiction over any matters to which the recommendations relate.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 PURPOSE | 1 |
| 1.2 SCOPE | 1 |
| 1.3 HOW TO USE THIS DOCUMENT..... | 1 |
| 1.4 DOCUMENT OVERVIEW | 2 |
| 2.0 PURPOSE OF TOOL QUALIFICATION..... | 5 |
| 3.0 CHARACTERISTICS OF TOOL QUALIFICATION..... | 7 |
| 3.1 QUALIFICATION LEVELS | 7 |
| 3.2 QUALIFICATION STAKEHOLDERS | 7 |
| 4.0 TOOL QUALIFICATION PLANNING PROCESS | 9 |
| 4.1 DETERMINING THE TOOL QUALIFICATION NEEDS | 9 |
| 4.2 TOOL LIFE CYCLE DEFINITION..... | 9 |
| 4.3 TOOL PLANNING PROCESS OBJECTIVES | 10 |
| 4.4 TOOL PLANNING PROCESS ACTIVITIES..... | 10 |
| 5.0 TOOL DEVELOPMENT LIFE CYCLE AND PROCESSES..... | 13 |
| 5.1 TOOL OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION PROCESS | 14 |
| 5.1.1 <i>Tool Operational Requirements Objectives.....</i> | <i>14</i> |
| 5.1.2 <i>Tool Operational Requirements Activities.....</i> | <i>14</i> |
| 5.2 TOOL DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES | 15 |
| 5.2.1 <i>Tool Requirements Process</i> | <i>15</i> |
| 5.2.2 <i>Tool Design Process.....</i> | <i>16</i> |
| 5.2.3 <i>Tool Coding Process</i> | <i>17</i> |
| 5.2.4 <i>Tool Integration Process</i> | <i>18</i> |
| 5.2.5 <i>Tool Development Process Traceability.....</i> | <i>18</i> |
| 5.3 TOOL OPERATIONAL INTEGRATION PROCESS..... | 19 |
| 5.3.1 <i>Tool Operational Integration Process Objectives</i> | <i>19</i> |
| 5.3.2 <i>Tool Operational Integration Activities.....</i> | <i>19</i> |
| 6.0 TOOL VERIFICATION PROCESS..... | 21 |
| 6.1 TOOL VERIFICATION PROCESS | 21 |
| 6.1.1 <i>Purpose of Tool Verification Process.....</i> | <i>21</i> |
| 6.1.2 <i>Overview of Tool Verification Activities.....</i> | <i>21</i> |
| 6.1.3 <i>Reviews and Analyses.....</i> | <i>22</i> |
| 6.1.4 <i>Tool Testing</i> | <i>25</i> |
| 6.1.5 <i>Tool Verification Process Traceability.....</i> | <i>28</i> |
| 6.2 TOOL OPERATIONAL VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION PROCESS | 29 |
| 6.2.1 <i>Tool Operational Verification and Validation Process Objectives</i> | <i>29</i> |
| 6.2.2 <i>Tool Operational Verification and Validation Process Activities</i> | <i>29</i> |
| 7.0 TOOL CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PROCESS..... | 31 |
| 7.1 TOOL CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PROCESS OBJECTIVES | 31 |
| 7.2 TOOL CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PROCESS ACTIVITIES | 32 |
| 7.2.1 <i>Configuration Identification</i> | <i>32</i> |
| 7.2.2 <i>Baselines and Traceability</i> | <i>32</i> |
| 7.2.3 <i>Problem Reporting, Tracking, and Corrective Action.....</i> | <i>33</i> |
| 7.2.4 <i>Change Control</i> | <i>33</i> |
| 7.2.5 <i>Change Review</i> | <i>34</i> |
| 7.2.6 <i>Configuration Status Accounting.....</i> | <i>34</i> |
| 7.2.7 <i>Archive, Retrieval, and Release.....</i> | <i>34</i> |
| 7.3 DATA CONTROL CATEGORIES | 35 |
| 7.4 TOOL LIFE CYCLE ENVIRONMENT CONTROL | 35 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| 8.0 | TOOL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS..... | 37 |
| 8.1 | TOOL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS OBJECTIVES | 37 |
| 8.2 | TOOL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS ACTIVITIES | 37 |
| 8.3 | TOOL CONFORMITY REVIEW | 38 |
| 9.0 | TOOL QUALIFICATION LIAISON PROCESS..... | 41 |
| 10.0 | TOOL QUALIFICATION DATA | 43 |
| 10.1 | TOOL QUALIFICATION LIAISON PROCESS AND OTHER INTEGRAL PROCESSES DATA | 43 |
| 10.1.1 | <i>Tool-Specific Information in PSAC.....</i> | 43 |
| 10.1.2 | <i>Tool Qualification Plan (TQP)</i> | 44 |
| 10.1.3 | <i>Tool Development Plan.....</i> | 44 |
| 10.1.4 | <i>Tool Verification Plan.....</i> | 45 |
| 10.1.5 | <i>Tool Configuration Management Plan</i> | 46 |
| 10.1.6 | <i>Tool Quality Assurance Plan.....</i> | 47 |
| 10.1.7 | <i>Tool Requirements Standards.....</i> | 47 |
| 10.1.8 | <i>Tool Design Standards.....</i> | 47 |
| 10.1.9 | <i>Tool Code Standards</i> | 48 |
| 10.1.10 | <i>Tool Life Cycle Environment Configuration Index.....</i> | 48 |
| 10.1.11 | <i>Tool Configuration Index.....</i> | 49 |
| 10.1.12 | <i>Tool Problem Reports.....</i> | 49 |
| 10.1.13 | <i>Tool Configuration Management Records.....</i> | 50 |
| 10.1.14 | <i>Tool Quality Assurance Records</i> | 50 |
| 10.1.15 | <i>Tool Accomplishment Summary.....</i> | 50 |
| 10.1.16 | <i>Tool-Specific Information in Software Accomplishment Summary</i> | 51 |
| 10.1.17 | <i>Tool-Specific Information in Software Life Cycle Environment Configuration Index (SECI)</i> | 51 |
| 10.2 | TOOL QUALIFICATION DATA PRODUCED DURING THE TOOL DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES AND CORRESPONDING VERIFICATION ACTIVITIES | 52 |
| 10.2.1 | <i>Tool Requirements</i> | 52 |
| 10.2.2 | <i>Tool Design Description.....</i> | 52 |
| 10.2.3 | <i>Tool Source Code.....</i> | 53 |
| 10.2.4 | <i>Tool Executable Object Code</i> | 53 |
| 10.2.5 | <i>Tool Verification Cases and Procedures</i> | 53 |
| 10.2.6 | <i>Tool Verification Results.....</i> | 53 |
| 10.2.7 | <i>Trace Data</i> | 54 |
| 10.3 | TOOL QUALIFICATION DATA PRODUCED DURING THE TOOL OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS PROCESS, THE TOOL OPERATIONAL INTEGRATION PROCESS, AND CORRESPONDING VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION ACTIVITIES... | 54 |
| 10.3.1 | <i>Tool Operational Requirements.....</i> | 54 |
| 10.3.2 | <i>Tool Installation Report.....</i> | 55 |
| 10.3.3 | <i>Tool Operational Verification and Validation Cases and Procedures.....</i> | 55 |
| 10.3.4 | <i>Tool Operational Verification and Validation Results</i> | 55 |
| 11.0 | ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR TOOL QUALIFICATION..... | 57 |
| 11.1 | MULTI-FUNCTION TOOLS | 57 |
| 11.2 | PREVIOUSLY QUALIFIED TOOLS | 58 |
| 11.2.1 | <i>Reuse of Previously Qualified Tools.....</i> | 59 |
| 11.2.2 | <i>Changes to the Tool Operational Environment</i> | 60 |
| 11.2.3 | <i>Changes to Previously Qualified Tool</i> | 60 |
| 11.3 | QUALIFYING COTS TOOLS | 61 |
| 11.3.1 | <i>Approach for COTS Tool Qualification.....</i> | 61 |
| 11.3.2 | <i>Activities for the Tool Developer</i> | 61 |
| 11.3.3 | <i>Tool Qualification Activities for the Tool User.....</i> | 63 |
| 11.3.4 | <i>Coordination of Developer and User Activities.....</i> | 66 |
| 11.4 | SERVICE HISTORY | 66 |
| 11.4.1 | <i>Aspects of Tool Service History</i> | 66 |
| 11.4.2 | <i>Tool Service History Activities.....</i> | 67 |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-------------|
| 11.5 | ALTERNATIVE METHODS FOR TOOL QUALIFICATION | 68 |
| ANNEX A | TOOL QUALIFICATION OBJECTIVES..... | 69 |
| ANNEX B | ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY OF TERMS | 81 |
| APPENDIX A | MEMBERSHIP LIST..... | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B | EXAMPLE OF DETERMINATION OF APPLICABLE TOOL QUALIFICATION LEVELS | B-1 |
| APPENDIX C | FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS RELATED TO TOOL QUALIFICATION FOR ALL DOMAINS | C-1 |
| 1.0 | APPENDIX C INTRODUCTION | C-1 |
| 1.1 | FAQ C.1: WHAT DOES “PROTECTION” MEAN FOR TOOLS AND WHAT ARE SOME MEANS TO ACHIEVE IT?C-1 | |
| 1.2 | FAQ C.2: WHAT ARE EXTERNAL COMPONENTS AND HOW DOES ONE ASSESS THEIR CORRECTNESS? | C-2 |
| 1.2.1 | WHAT ARE THE EXTERNAL COMPONENTS OF A TOOL? | C-2 |
| 1.2.2 | APPLICATION OF STRUCTURAL COVERAGE ANALYSIS FOR TOOL EXTERNAL COMPONENTS | C-2 |
| 1.3 | FAQ C.3: HOW CAN ONE MAXIMIZE REUSABILITY OF TOOL QUALIFICATION DATA? | C-3 |
| APPENDIX D | FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS RELATED TO TOOL QUALIFICATION FOR AIRBORNE SOFTWARE AND CNS/ATM SOFTWARE DOMAINS..... | D-1 |
| 1.0 | APPENDIX D INTRODUCTION | D-1 |
| 1.1 | FAQ D.1: WHY ARE THE TERMS “VERIFICATION TOOL” AND “DEVELOPMENT TOOL” NOT USED TO DESCRIBE TOOLS THAT MAY BE QUALIFIED? | D-1 |
| 1.2 | FAQ D.2: CAN TQL BE REDUCED? | D-2 |
| 1.3 | FAQ D.3: WHEN DO TARGET COMPUTER EMULATORS OR SIMULATORS NEED TO BE QUALIFIED? | D-2 |
| 1.3.1 | DO-178C/DO-278A BACKGROUND AND GUIDANCE..... | D-2 |
| 1.3.2 | USE OF AN EMULATOR OR SIMULATOR | D-3 |
| 1.3.3 | DEMONSTRATION OF EQUIVALENCE..... | D-3 |
| 1.3.4 | APPROACH FOR QUALIFYING EMULATOR/SIMULATOR | D-4 |
| 1.4 | FAQ D.4: WHAT CREDIT CAN BE GRANTED FOR TOOLS PREVIOUSLY QUALIFIED USING DO-178B/DO-278? | D-4 |
| 1.5 | FAQ D.5: WHAT IS THE RATIONALE FOR TOOL QUALIFICATION CRITERIA DEFINITION? | D-5 |
| 1.5.1 | BACKGROUND | D-5 |
| 1.5.2 | APPROACH TO DEFINE THE APPLICABLE TQL..... | D-5 |
| 1.5.3 | TOOL CRITERIA DEFINITION..... | D-6 |
| 1.5.3.1 | COMPARISON BETWEEN DO-178B/DO-278 TOOL CATEGORIES AND DO-178C/DO-278A TOOL QUALIFICATION CRITERIA | D-6 |
| 1.5.3.2 | RATIONALE FOR INTRODUCING CRITERIA 2 | D-6 |
| 1.5.3.3 | CONSIDERATIONS ABOUT CRITERIA SELECTION AND EXAMPLES..... | D-7 |
| 1.5.3.3.1 | APPLICATION OF CRITERIA 1, 2, AND 3 | D-7 |
| 1.5.3.3.2 | EXAMPLES OF CRITERIA 2 OR 3 DETERMINATION | D-8 |
| 1.5.3.3.3 | MULTILAYERED SAFETY APPROACH AND TOOL QUALIFICATION CRITERIA | D-8 |
| 1.5.3.3.4 | TQLS..... | D-9 |
| 1.6 | FAQ D.6: WHAT “VERIFICATION TOOL” QUALIFICATION IMPROVEMENTS WERE MADE IN DO-178C/DO-278A? | D-10 |
| 1.6.1 | <i>Qualification Criteria for Verification Tools in DO-178B</i> | <i>D-10</i> |
| 1.6.2 | <i>Clarifications and Improvements for TQL-5</i> | <i>D-10</i> |
| 1.6.2.1 | <i>Scope of the qualification.....</i> | <i>D-10</i> |
| 1.6.2.2 | <i>Determinism.....</i> | <i>D-11</i> |
| 1.6.2.3 | <i>TOR Clarification</i> | <i>D-11</i> |
| 1.6.2.4 | <i>Tool Integration in the Operational Environment</i> | <i>D-11</i> |
| 1.6.2.5 | <i>Tool Verification</i> | <i>D-11</i> |
| 1.6.2.6 | <i>Tool Validation</i> | <i>D-11</i> |
| 1.6.2.7 | <i>Tool Configuration Management.....</i> | <i>D-12</i> |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------|
| 1.6.2.8 | Tool Quality Assurance..... | D-12 |
| 1.6.2.9 | Certification/Approval Liaison Process for Tool Qualification | D-12 |
| 1.6.3 | Conclusion..... | D-12 |
| 1.7 | FAQ D.7: HOW MIGHT ONE USE A QUALIFIED TOOL TO VERIFY THE OUTPUTS OF AN UNQUALIFIED TOOL? ... | D-12 |
| 1.7.1 | SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS | D-13 |
| 1.7.2 | DISCUSSION..... | D-14 |
| 1.7.2.1 | COVERAGE OF UNQUALIFIED TOOL OUTPUT VERIFICATION OBJECTIVES..... | D-15 |
| 1.7.2.2 | OPERATING CONDITIONS OF THE QUALIFIED TOOL | D-15 |
| 1.7.2.3 | COMMON CAUSE AVOIDANCE | D-15 |
| 1.7.2.4 | PROTECTION BETWEEN TOOLS..... | D-16 |
| 1.8 | FAQ D.8: HOW MIGHT ONE USE A QUALIFIED AUTOCODE GENERATOR? | D-16 |
| 1.8.1 | TERMINOLOGY | D-16 |
| 1.8.2 | PURPOSE AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS FAQ | D-16 |
| 1.8.3 | DISCUSSION..... | D-17 |
| 1.8.3.1 | SOURCE CODE VERIFICATION OBJECTIVES..... | D-17 |
| 1.8.3.2 | EXECUTABLE OBJECT CODE VERIFICATION OBJECTIVES | D-18 |
| 1.8.3.2.1 | SCENARIOS USING THE ACG..... | D-18 |
| 1.8.3.3 | COVERAGE OF SOFTWARE STRUCTURE OBJECTIVES..... | D-23 |
| 1.8.3.3.1 | VERIFICATION OF AIRBORNE (OR CNS/ATM) CODE STRUCTURE..... | D-23 |
| 1.8.3.3.2 | ABSENCE OF UNINTENDED FUNCTIONS | D-23 |
| 1.8.3.3.3 | THOROUGHNESS OF REQUIREMENTS-BASED TESTING | D-24 |
| 1.9 | FAQ D.9: IS QUALIFICATION OF A MODEL SIMULATOR NEEDED?..... | D-24 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|---|------|
| FIGURE 1-1 | DOCUMENT OVERVIEW | 3 |
| FIGURE 5-1 | OVERALL TOOL DEVELOPMENT LIFE CYCLCLE..... | 9 |
| FIGURE 11-1 | PREVIOUSLY QUALIFIED TOOLS EVALUATION | 55 |
| FIGURE D-1 | TOOL RELATIONSHIP EXAMPLE | D-14 |
| FIGURE D-2 | DEVELOPMENT PROCESS WITH AUTOCODE GENERATOR | D-17 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|------------|--|------|
| TABLE 11-1 | TYPICAL TOOL DEVELOPER OBJETIVES | 62 |
| TABLE 11-2 | TYPICAL TOOL USER OBJECTIVES..... | 64 |
| TABLE T-0 | TOOL OPERATIONAL PROCESSES | 66 |
| TABLE T-1 | TOOL PLANNING PROCESS | 67 |
| TABLE T-2 | TOOL DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES | 68 |
| TABLE T-3 | VERIFICATION OF OUTPUTS OF TOOL REQUIREMENTS PROCESSES | 69 |
| TABLE T-4 | VERIFICATION OF OUTPUTS OF TOOL DESIGN PROCESS..... | 70 |
| TABLE T-5 | VERIFICATION OF OUTPUTS OF TOOL CODING & INTEGRATION PROCESSES | 71 |
| TABLE T-1 | TOOL PLANNING PROCESS | 71 |
| TABLE T-2 | TOOL DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES | 72 |
| TABLE T-3 | VERIFICATION OF OUTPUTS OF TOOL REQUIREMENTS PROCESSES | 73 |
| TABLE T-4 | VERIFICATION OF OUTPUTS OF TOOL DESIGN PROCESS..... | 74 |
| TABLE T-5 | VERIFICATION OF OUTPUTS OF TOOL CODING & INTEGRATION PROCESS..... | 75 |
| TABLE T-6 | TESTING OF OUTPUTS OF INTEGRATION PROCESS | 76 |
| TABLE T-7 | VERIFICATION OF OUTPUTS OF TOOL TESTING | 77 |
| TABLE T-8 | TOOL CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PROCESS..... | 78 |
| TABLE T-9 | TOOL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS | 79 |
| TABLE T-10 | TOOL QUALIFICATION LIAISON PROCESS..... | 80 |
| TABLE D-1 | DO-178B/DO-278 AND DO-178C/DO-278A TQL CORRELATION..... | D-4 |
| TABLE D-2 | DO-178B/DO-278 TOOL CATEGORIES AND DO-178C/DO-278A TOOL QUALIFICATION CRITERIA COMPARISON | D-6 |
| TABLE D-3 | TQL SUMMARY..... | D-9 |
| TABLE D-4 | OVERVIEW OF SOME AUTOCODE GENRATOR USE SCENARIOS | D-19 |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide tool qualification guidance. Additionally, clarification material is provided in the form of Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs).

1.2 Scope

Software tools are widely used in multiple domains, to assist in developing, verifying, and controlling other software. In the context of this document a tool is a computer program or a functional part thereof, used to help develop, transform, test, analyze, produce, or modify another program, its data, or its documentation. Examples are automated code generators, compilers, test tools, and modification management tools. This document explains the process and objectives for qualifying tools.

This document was developed for the following reasons:

- a. Tools are different from the software using the tools and form a unique domain; therefore, tool-specific guidance for both tool developers and tool users is needed.
- b. Tools are often developed by teams other than those who use the tools to develop software. These tool development teams frequently do not have software guidance background (examples of guidance include DO-178C or DO-278A). This tool-specific document benefits tool development teams and helps them avoid confusion and misinterpretation.
- c. This document provides guidance for airborne and ground-based software. It may also be used by other domains, such as automotive, space, systems, electronic hardware, aeronautical databases, and safety assessment processes.

1.3 How to Use This Document

When a domain or a project desires to use this document, the following should be considered.

- a. To offer maximum applicability and flexibility, five tool qualification levels (TQLs) are defined; however, not all levels may be applicable for every domain. Each domain should determine the applicability of the TQLs for their particular needs. Please reference the appropriate domain-specific document (such as an RTCA, SAE, or EUROCAE document) to determine if, and to what level, a specific tool needs to be qualified. As an example, Appendix B shows how the DO-278A domain defines the tool qualification criteria and the applicable TQL for ground-based communication, navigation, surveillance, and air traffic management (CNS/ATM) software. However, each domain may use different criteria. For example, they may not use all TQLs; they may have different tool criteria which map to the used TQLs; or they may adapt some of the objectives of this document for their particular applicability.
- b. Throughout this document terms such as “software life cycle”, “software processes”, “software plans”, and “software” are used to refer to the product life cycle, processes, plans, and domain where the tool will be used (that is, a software domain is used instead of a generic domain). For other domains the word “software” may be replaced