



# **IPC-9203A**

## **2022 - November**

### **Users Guide to IPC-9202 and the IPC-B-52 Standard Test Vehicle**

*An international standard developed by IPC*



**BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER**

---

**IPC Mission**

IPC is a global trade association dedicated to furthering the competitive excellence and financial success of its members, who are participants in the electronics industry.

In pursuit of these objectives, IPC will devote resources to management improvement and technology enhancement programs, the creation of relevant standards, protection of the environment, and pertinent government relations.

IPC encourages the active participation of all its members in these activities and commits to full cooperation with all related organizations.

**About IPC Standards**

IPC standards and publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for their particular need. Existence of such IPC standards and publications shall not in any respect preclude any entity from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such IPC standards and publication, nor shall the existence of such IPC standards and publications preclude their voluntary use.

IPC standards and publications are approved by IPC committees without regard to whether the IPC standards or publications may involve patents on articles, materials or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor does IPC assume any obligation whatsoever to parties adopting an IPC standard or publication. Users are wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

**IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change**

The use and implementation of IPC standards and publications are voluntary and part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC standard or publication is revised or amended, the use of the latest revision or amendment as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. IPC recommends the use of the latest revision or amendment.

**Standards Improvement Recommendations**

IPC welcomes comments for improvements to any standard in its library. All comments will be provided to the appropriate committee.

If a change to technical content is requested, data to support the request is recommended. Technical comments to include new technologies or make changes to published requirements should be accompanied by technical data to support the request. This information will be used by the committee to resolve the comment.

To submit your comments, visit the IPC Status of Standardization page at [www.ipc.org/status](http://www.ipc.org/status).



IPC-9203A

# Users Guide to IPC-9202 and the IPC-B-52 Standard Test Vehicle

Developed by the Residue Assessment Task Group (5-32g) of the  
Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30) of IPC

**Supersedes:**  
IPC-9203 - April 27, 2012

Users of this publication are encouraged to  
participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC  
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105 N  
Bannockburn, Illinois  
60015-1249  
Tel 847 615.7100  
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the Residue Assessment Task Group (5-32g) of the Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

---

### Cleaning and Coating Committee

Chair

Jason Keeping  
Celestica

Vice Chair

Graham Naisbitt  
Gen3 Systems Limited

### Residue Assessment Task Group

Co Chairs

Eric Camden  
Foresite, Inc.

Emma Hudson

Emma Hudson Technical Consultancy  
Ltd.

Co Vice Chairs

Hans Shin  
Pacific Testing Laboratories, Inc.

Hannah Nelson

Valparaiso University

### Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors

Bob Neves

Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories

---

### Residue Assessment Task Group

Timothy O'Neill  
AIM Solder

Axel Vargas  
Axel Vargas

Tim Gallagher  
BAE Systems

Joseph E. Kane  
BAE Systems

Jason M. Keeping  
Celestica International L.P.

Andreas Hogg  
Coat-X

Douglas O Pauls  
Collins Aerospace

Dan White  
Collins Aerospace

Geok Ang Tan  
DSO National Laboratories

Phil Kinner  
Electrolube

Emma Hudson  
Emma Hudson Technical Consultancy  
Ltd

Reinaldo Chacon  
FEMTO-ST

Eric Camden  
Foresite, Inc.

Christopher Hunt  
Gen3 Systems Limited

Graham K. Naisbitt  
Gen3 Systems Limited

Andrew LeVesque  
Gentex Corporation

Francesco Di Maio  
GESTLABS S.r.l.

Beverly Christian  
HDP User Group

Hector A. Valladares  
Honeywell Aerospace

William Capen  
Honeywell FM&T

Jennifer Bennett  
IBM Corporation

Benoit Dagenais  
Innovative Vehicle Institute

Emmanuelle Guene  
Inventec Performance Chemicals

James Fowler  
James Fowler

Minsu Lee  
Korea Packaging Integration  
Association

Scott A. Bowles  
Lockheed Martin Corporation

William Fox  
Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire  
Control

Ben Gumpert  
Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire  
Control

Susan (Yi) Le  
Microtek Laboratories China

William Adams  
Motorola Solutions

Mahendra S. Gandhi  
Northrop Grumman Space Systems

Christina Landon  
NSWC Crane

Elizabeth A. Allison  
NTS - Baltimore

Keith Sellers  
NTS - Baltimore

Kevin Belisario  
NTS - Anaheim

Hans L. Shin  
Pacific Testing Laboratories, Inc.

Russell Kido  
Practical Components Inc.

Joseph Rousseau  
Precision Analytical Laboratory, Inc.

Catherine J. Hanlin  
Precision Manufacturing Company,  
Inc.

Dianna Benson  
Raytheon Missile Defense

Lothar Henneken  
Robert Bosch GmbH

---

Stanton Rak SF Rak Company	Hannah Glorianne Nelson Valparaiso University	Ravi Parthasarathy ZESTRON Americas
Yaoru Ren Shengyi Electronics Co. Ltd.	Nicolas Jean-Charles Magnin Valtronic Technologies (suisse) SA	Jigar Patel ZESTRON Americas
Lamar Young Specialty Coating Systems Inc.	Julien Magnin-Feysot Valtronic Technologies (suisse) SA	Umut Tosun ZESTRON Americas
Mark T. McMeen STI Electronics, Inc.	Jose Ma Servin Olivares Vitesco Technologies Automotive Cuautia, S.A. de C.V.	Zhiman Chen ZHUZHOU CRRC TIMES ELECTRIC CO., LTD
Martin W. Bayes TE Connectivity	Jeff K. Kennedy ZESTRON Americas	
Catalina Pamatmat Temic Automotive (Phils.) Inc.		

---

**Special Acknowledgment**

Thank you to Joseph Rousseau (Precision Analytical Laboratory), David Lober (Kyzen Corporation), Graham Naisbitt (Gen3 Systems Limited), Keith Sellers (NTS Baltimore), Russell Shepherd (NTS Anaheim) and Phil Kinner (Electrolube) for your leadership and support of the former 5-32a, 5-32b and 5-32c committees which were merged to create the 5-32g Residue Assessment Task Group.

# Table of Contents

<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1	3.2.8 Other Board Features .....	14
1.1 Definitions .....	1	3.2.8.1 Fiducials .....	14
1.1.1 Process Characterization .....	1	3.2.8.2 Contact Fingers .....	14
1.1.2 Qualification .....	1	3.3 Board Design Modifications .....	14
1.1.3 Validation .....	1	3.4 Electronic Data .....	15
<b>2.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS</b> .....	2	3.5 Formal Drawing .....	16
2.1 IPC .....	2	3.6 Additional Patterns .....	16
2.2 International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) .....	2	3.7 Bill of Materials (BOM) .....	16
<b>3.0 THE IPC-B-52 TEST VEHICLE</b> .....	2	3.8 Known Variations on the IPC-B-52 Design .....	17
3.1 Revision History .....	2	3.8.1 Variant 1 .....	17
3.1.1 Original IPC-B-52 .....	2	3.8.2 Variant 2 .....	18
3.1.2 Revision A to Revision B .....	3	3.9 How Much Change Is Allowed? .....	18
3.1.3 Summary of Changes – Revision A to B .....	4	<b>4.0 IPC-9202 POINTS FOR CONSIDERATION</b> .....	18
3.1.4 What Did Not Change – Revision A to B .....	4	4.1 Process Qualification .....	23
3.1.5 IPC-B-52 Revision B .....	4	4.2 Testing at 85/85 .....	29
3.2 The Main SIR Test Board .....	5	4.2.1 Initial and Final Measurements .....	30
3.2.1 The Ion Chromatography (IC) Test Coupon .....	6	4.2.2 Test Duration .....	30
3.2.3 The SIR Mini Coupon .....	6	4.2.3 Data Rounding .....	31
3.2.4 The Test Patterns .....	7	4.2.4 Data Exclusion .....	32
3.2.5 Connector Patterns .....	8	<b>5.0 OTHER USEFUL INFORMATION</b> .....	33
3.2.5.1 Pattern 1 – Connector J2 .....	8	5.1 Test Sample Surface Finish .....	33
3.2.5.2 Pattern 16 – Connector J1 .....	9	5.2 Test Sample Identification .....	33
3.2.5.3 Pattern 4 – SM IEEE1386 Connector .....	9	5.3 Manufacturing Processes .....	33
3.2.5.4 Capacitor Patterns .....	9	5.4 Test Sample Review .....	34
3.2.5.5 Pattern 2 – 0402 Capacitor Field .....	9	5.5 Testing for Electrical Shorts .....	34
3.2.5.6 Patterns 5 and 8 – 0805 Capacitor Field .....	10	5.6 Handling During Review .....	34
3.2.5.7 Pattern 14 – 0603 Capacitor Field .....	10	5.7 Storage Until Testing .....	34
3.2.5.8 Pattern 15 – 1206 Capacitor Field .....	11	5.8 Packaging for Shipment .....	34
3.2.6 SMT Devices .....	11	5.9 When Test Runs Have Issues .....	35
3.2.6.1 Pattern 3 – PGA Pattern .....	12	5.10 Interrupted Tests .....	35
3.2.6.2 Patterns 6 and 7 – QFP160 Lead to Lead Pattern (6) and QFP160 Comb (7) .....	12	5.11 Premature Ending of the Test .....	35
3.2.6.3 Patterns 9 and 10 – QFP80 Comb Pattern (9) and QFP80 Lead to Lead .....	13	5.12 Handling Outliers .....	35
3.2.7 Open Areas (11 and 12) for Custom Patterns .....	13	<b>6.0 FINAL THOUGHTS</b> .....	35
		<b>7.0 REFERENCES</b> .....	36

## Figures

Figure 3-1a Original IPC-B-52 Board (Circa 2004), Top Side . . . . .	2	Figure 3-17a, Top Side . . . . .	11
Figure 3-1b Original IPC-B-52 Board (Circa 2004), Bottom Side . . . . .	3	Figure 3-17b, Bottom Side . . . . .	11
Figure 3-2 IPC-B-52 Board Revision A . . . . .	3	Figure 3-17c, Top Side . . . . .	11
Figure 3-3 IPC-B-52 Revision B, Full Board – Top Side . . . . .	4	Figure 3-17d, Bottom Side . . . . .	11
Figure 3-4 IPC-B-52 Revision B, Full Board – Bottom Side . . . . .	5	Figure 3-18a BGA Pattern . . . . .	12
Figure 3-5a IPC-B-52B, SIR Board . . . . .	5	Figure 3-18b Soldered BGA Component . . . . .	12
Figure 3-5b IPC-B-52B, SIR Board . . . . .	5	Figure 3-19a QFP 160 Patterns . . . . .	12
Figure 3-6 IPC-B-52B, Assembled SIR Board . . . . .	5	Figure 3-19b Soldered QFP 160 Component . . . . .	12
Figure 3-7a Ion Chromatography (IC) Coupon. . . . .	6	Figure 3-20a QFP80 Patterns . . . . .	13
Figure 3-7b Ion Chromatography (IC) Coupon with Components . . . . .	6	Figure 3-20b Soldered QFP80 Component . . . . .	13
Figure 3-8 Solder Mask Adhesion Coupon . . . . .	6	Figure 3-21a SOIC Pattern . . . . .	13
Figure 3-9 SIR Mini Coupon. . . . .	6	Figure 3-21b Soldered SOICs . . . . .	13
Figure 3-10a IPC-B-52 Patterns, Top Side . . . . .	7	Figure 3-22a Open Area . . . . .	13
Figure 3-10b IPC-B-52 Pattern, Bottom Side . . . . .	8	Figure 3-23 IPC-B-52 Revision B with QFN Patterns in Open Area . . . . .	14
Figure 3-11a J2 Connector Pattern . . . . .	8	Figure 3-24 Fiducials. . . . .	14
Figure 3-11b J2 Connector Installed . . . . .	8	Figure 3-25 Contact Fingers . . . . .	14
Figure 3-12a SMT Connector Pattern . . . . .	9	Figure 3-26 IPC-B-52 Diagram for Bill of Materials (BOM) . . . . .	16
Figure 3-12b SMT Connector Installed. . . . .	9	Figure 3-27 Effect of Capacitance. . . . .	17
Figure 3-13a 0402 Capacitor Pattern. . . . .	9	Figure 3-28 IPC-B-52 QFN Variant 1 . . . . .	17
Figure 3-13b 0402 Soldered Capacitors . . . . .	9	Figure 4-1 Connector Check Card. . . . .	24
Figure 3-14a 0805 Capacitor Pattern, Top Side . . . . .	10	Figure 4-2 SIR Chart . . . . .	31
Figure 3-14b 0805 Soldered Capacitors, Top Side . . . . .	10	Figure 4-3 SIR Levels at Different Test Conditions . . . . .	32
Figure 3-14c 0805 Capacitor Pattern, Bottom Side. . . . .	10		
Figure 3-15a 0603 Capacitor Patterns . . . . .	10	<b>Tables</b>	
Figure 3-15b 0603 Soldered Capacitors . . . . .	10	Table 3-1 IPC-B-52 Revision B, Bill of Materials (BOM) . . . . .	16
Figure 3-16a 1206 Capacitor Pattern. . . . .	11	Table 3-2 IPC-B-52 Variant - Components . . . . .	18
Figure 3-16b 1206 Soldered Capacitors . . . . .	11	Table 4-1 Voltage Gradients. . . . .	29
		Table 5-1 IPC-B-52 Pinout. . . . .	34

# Users Guide to IPC-9202 and the IPC-B-52 Standard Test Vehicle

---

## FOREWARD

The electronics manufacturing process is often very complex, with dozens of variables that impact the quality and reliability of the manufactured assemblies in the end use environment. Of concern are the kinds of residues remaining on the electronic assembly and the effects that these residues have on the electro-chemical reliability of the end-product.

Surface Insulation Resistance testing measures changes to the surface insulation resistance. By its very nature, such testing must be conducted on a test coupon that should be representative of the intended end-product.

Apart from commonplace ionic residues, most modern process chemistries include non-ionic surfactant additives that are not detectable using ionic extract test methods such as Ion chromatography or Resistivity of Solvent Extracted (ROSE).

This document focuses on the IPC-B-52 standard test assembly and how it is used as a representative example of the intended end-product.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In order to determine acceptable electro-chemical performance, the most suitable test is to run SIR using a test coupon that is representative of the intended end-product material set.

The advantage of this approach is that it does not differentiate between ionic nor non-ionic matter that may be deleterious to end-product performance. Other test methods, such as Ion Chromatography or ROSE are not able to detect or measure non-ionic contaminants.

There are many other test methods that are used to ascertain what is present as and when an SIR “failure” is detected.

The IPC-B-52 test vehicle is intended to be used to evaluate and optimize a manufacturing process, and to provide objective evidence that a chosen manufacturing material set, and manufacturing process is electro-chemically compatible. This latter use is often considered as a “process validation”, suitable for international specifications such as IPC J-STD-001 or IEC-61189-5-502. Such efforts are not trivial, and often an engineering analysis is required to determine the meaning of the resulting data set.

The predecessor to the IPC-B-52 was the IEC TB-57 test coupon. This was originally designed by the National Physical Laboratory in the United Kingdom and was created as part of a major EU funded research program to develop SIR testing for both material characterization (e.g., conformal coatings, solder masks, solder fluxes and pastes) as well as process material set evaluations.<sup>a</sup>

Following this research effort, Rockwell Collins evolved the TB-57 to reflect certain industry needs prevalent at that time. This became the IPC-B-52 and adopted in the original IPC 9202 and IPC 9203 specifications. It is important to note that the original design was intended to be a “worst case” example of process residue entrapment. The intended goal was of having a World standard for process characterization and validation. In the test method IEC 61189-5-502 Surface Insulation Resistance Testing Assemblies now calls out the IPC-B-52 test coupon.

This document has been written as a companion document to IPC-9202.

In the event that the user wishes to design their own test coupon for the purpose of examining their material set, then it is recommended that reference be made to IPC 9201, SIR Test Handbook, Section 3.3.8 that outlines some important design rules.

The coupon **shall** be manufactured using the same manufacturing process and surface finish intended for the end-product. This is especially important in respect to the PTH sections that are intended to replicate the requirements of thru-hole headers, vias or perhaps press-fit connectors. The user should be aware that laminate choice may impact whether or not conductive anodic filament (CAF) failures (a sub-surface electrochemical migration failure) appear in SIR testing for the IPC-B-52 assemblies. Lower quality laminates have a higher risk of CAF failures.

**1.1 Definitions** Throughout IPC-9202 and IPC-9203 documents, the words “qualification”, “characterization”, and “validation” are used with respect to candidate manufacturing processes. These words mean different things to different people, and so a discussion of these terms is pertinent to these documents.

**1.1.1 Process Characterization** Process Characterization refers to any examination of the effects of a manufacturing process. This may include screening studies, small scale investigations of parameter effects, etc. The goal is to generate data characteristic of that process.

**1.1.2 Qualification** Qualification is a more formal term. For qualification, the goal of the data generation is to show that the process meets the criteria of a specification or standard, such as IPC-J-STD-001.

**1.1.3 Validation** Validation is work subsequent to the qualification. Validation is testing that shows the data generated in the qualification proved to be acceptable on actual product.