

# Studlink and Studless Fatigue Curves for Mooring Lines

API TECHNICAL REPORT 2FC-1  
FIRST EDITION, JANUARY 2020



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

## Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Users of this Technical Report should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgement should be used in employing information contained herein.

Shall: As used in this standard "shall" denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the specification.

Should: As used in a standard "should" denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the specification.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be used. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001-5571.

## Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001, [standards@api.org](mailto:standards@api.org).

## Contents

	Page
1 Introduction.....	1
2 TN and SN Mooring Component Fatigue Curves.....	1
3 Fatigue Curves for Chain Mooring Lines.....	4
3.1 General.....	4
3.2 Derivation of Studlink Fatigue Curves.....	5
3.3 Derivation of Studless Fatigue Curves.....	10
3.4 Proposed Studlink and Studless Fatigue Curves for Mooring Chain.....	12
4 Fatigue Life Comparisons Based on API's 2SK Example.....	12
4.1 General.....	12
4.2 Discussion.....	13
Annex A (informative) Studlink and Studless Chain Fatigue Test Data.....	15
Annex B (informative) Example Fatigue Damage Calculations for API's 2SK Second Edition.....	22
Bibliography.....	24

## Figures

1 All Studlink ORQ Saltwater Fatigue Test Failures.....	4
2 Probability Plot for All Studlink ORQ Saltwater Fatigue Test Failures.....	6
3 Studlink Fatigue Curves for Specific Probabilities of Failure (P) and Number of Links (J).....	8
4 NEL Studless and Studlink Fatigue Test Data.....	10
5 Probability Plot for All Studless Saltwater Fatigue Test Failures.....	11

## Tables

1 Summary of Saltwater Chain Fatigue Failure Data.....	1
2 Individual Link Probability of Failure ( $P_l^1$ ) Required for Line Probability of Failure.....	7
3 Intercepts $\log_{10}(a^*)$ Required for Fatigue Curves Associated with Line Probabilities.....	8
4 Safety Factors Required for Line Probability of Failure of $10^{-4}$ and Fatigue Curves Based on 2.5 % Probability of Failure.....	9
5 Comparison of NEL Studless and Studlink Regression Curves.....	11
6 Proposed Parameters for Studlink and Studless TN Fatigue Curves.....	12
7 Comparison of Studlink Fatigue Lives for API's 2SK Example.....	13
8 Comparison of Studless Fatigue Lives for API's 2SK Example.....	13
A.1 Summary of ORQ Studlink Saltwater Fatigue Test Results.....	15
A.2 Summary of R3 and R4 Studless Saltwater Fatigue Test Results.....	18
B.1 Present API Fatigue Curve ( $m = -3.36, \log_{10}(a) = 2.568$ ).....	22
B.2 Proposed Studlink Fatigue Curve ( $m = -3.0, \log_{10}(a) = 3.0$ ).....	23
B.3 Proposed Studless Fatigue Curve ( $m = -3.0, \log_{10}(a) = 2.5$ ).....	23

# Studlink and Studless Fatigue Curves for Mooring Lines

## 1 Introduction

This report summarizes the derivation of fatigue curves for studless and studlink chain mooring lines for inclusion in API 2SK, Third Edition. The second edition of API 2SK <sup>[1]</sup> has a single fatigue curve for mooring chain, this curve is a non-unique lower bound to all of the in-water and in-air studlink fatigue test data. API 2SK, Second Edition, does not have separate fatigue curves for studlink and studless chain.

The studlink fatigue curve derived in this report is based on the saltwater tension-tension fatigue tests performed by the National Engineering Laboratory, Glasgow, U.K. (NEL) and Exxon Production Research Company (EPR) on oil rig quality (ORQ) studlink chain with bar diameters of 2 in., 3 in., and 4 in. <sup>[3,4]</sup>. The studless fatigue curve is based on the saltwater tension-tension fatigue tests performed by NEL on R3 and R4 studless chain with a bar diameter of 3 in. <sup>[5]</sup>. All publicly available saltwater studlink and studless chain fatigue data are summarized in Table 1, and the fatigue test data are listed in Annex A.

**Table 1—Summary of Saltwater Chain Fatigue Failure Data**

Chain Fatigue Failure Data	Diameter (in.)	Slope			Censoring			
		<i>m</i>	-95 %	+95 %	% Failed	Failed	Runout	Tested
ORQ Studlink, NEL	3 and 4	-2.588	-2.802	-2.374	50	20	20	40
ORQ Studlink, EPR	2	-3.951	-4.425	-3.653	See NOTE	40	See NOTE	See NOTE
ORQ Studlink, Shell <sup>a</sup>	See NOTE	—	—	—	See NOTE	16	See NOTE	See NOTE
ORQ Studlink, NEL and EPR	2, 3, and 4	-3.054	-3.26	-2.848	See NOTE	60	> 20	> 80
K4 Studlink, NEL	3 and 4	-1.902	-2.212	-1.591	52	12	11	23
R3 Studless, NEL	3	-2.419	-2.613	-2.225	52	44	40	84
R4 Studless, NEL	3	-2.336	-2.574	-2.098	43	31	41	72
NOTE The diameter of the Shell chain is not known; or the percent failed, number of runout links or number of tested links were not reported.								
<sup>a</sup> The Shell tests were all performed at the same tension range, consequently they contain no information on the slope ( <i>m</i> ) of the fatigue curve.								

## 2 TN and SN Mooring Component Fatigue Curves

API's component TN curves are specified in terms of the non-dimensional tension range (*T*), which is calculated by dividing the dimensional double amplitude tension range by a reference breaking strength. For studlink chain, API specifies the reference break strength as the catalog break strength (CBS) of ORQ chain of the same size (reduced by a corrosion allowance). API's fatigue curve is expressed as shown in Equation (1).

$$\log_{10}(N) = m \log_{10}(T) + \log_{10}(a) \quad (1)$$

where

- T* is the tension range/CBS of ORQ;
- m* is the slope of fatigue curve;
- $\log_{10}(a_s)$  is the intercept of fatigue curve;
- N* is the number of cycles.