

Mechanical Behavior of Cement

API TECHNICAL REPORT 10TR7
FIRST EDITION, DECEMBER 2017



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Copyright © 2017 American Petroleum Institute

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Contents

	Page
1	Scope 1
2	Normative References 1
3	Terms and Definitions 1
4	Expansion and Explanation of Defined Terms 2
4.1	General 2
4.2	Stress 2
4.3	Strain 5
4.4	Elasticity 6
4.5	Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) 8
4.6	Tensile Strength (?T) 9
4.7	Mohr's Circle 9
4.8	Effective Stress 9
4.9	Failure Criteria 10
5	Mechanical Testing Specimen Preparation 11
5.1	Cement Slurry Preparation 11
5.2	Casting Cement Specimens 12
5.3	Cement Curing Procedure 15
5.4	Cylinder Preparation 16
5.5	Quality Control Check for Specimen Integrity 17
6	Testing Equipment and Common Uniaxial Compression/Tension Test Setup 18
6.1	Testing Equipment 18
6.2	Common Test Setup 20
7	Unconfined Compression Testing 21
7.1	General 21
7.2	Differences from API 10B-2 21
7.3	Test Procedures 21
7.4	Typical Test Result 24
7.5	Test Result Interpretation 24
8	Tensile Testing 26
8.1	Test Procedures of Direct Tension Test (Using Briquette Specimens) 26
8.2	Test Procedures of Splitting Tension Test (Brazilian test) 27
8.3	Test Result Interpretation 29
9	Triaxial Test 29
9.1	General 29
9.2	Typical Confined Test Results 29
9.3	Triaxial Test Interpretation 30
10	Dynamic Elastic Properties 31
11	Reporting 31
	Annex A (informative) Reporting 33
	Annex B (informative) Sonic Sample Integrity Control 45
	Bibliography 52

Contents

Page

Figures

1	Force Applied to a Surface Area	3
2	Cylindrical Test Specimen	4
3	Cylindrical Test Specimen Subject to Radial Stress	4
4	Elasticity Test Result Examples	7
5	Mohr Circles and Mohr Failure Envelope	10
6	Mohr's Circles for Confined Tests Bounded by the Mohr-Coulomb Shear Failure Criteria	11
7	Examples of a Disposable Mold (left) and Reusable Molds (right)	13
8	Dimensions of Briquette Specimens for Direct Tensile Strength Test (from ASTM C307)	13
9	Briquette Specimens for Direct Tensile Strength	14
10	CT Scan Images of Cement Samples	18
11	Example Splitting Tensile Test	19
12	Example Direct Tensile Test (Dogbone)	19
13	Example Uniaxial Compression Test Setup with Displacement Transducers	20
14	Common Compressive Test Setup	20
15	Example of Compressive Test Specimen After Failure	22
16	Typical Stress-Strain Curve of an Unconfined Compression Test with One Cycle	24
17	Tangent Modulus as a Function of Axial Strain (James and Boukhelifa, 2006)	25
18	Schematic of Splitting Tension Test (Brazilian test)	27
19	Example of Good Tests (left) and Poor Tests (right)	28
20	Schematic of Confined Compression Test	30
21	Triaxial Compressive Strength Test Results	30
A.1	Stress-Strain Curves of Three Different Samples	34
A.2	Stress-Strain Curves of Five Different Samples	35
A.3	Stress-Strain Curves of Four Different Samples	35
A.4	Stress-Strain Curves of Four Different Samples	36
A.5	Stress-Strain Curves of Two Different Samples	36
A.6	Comparison of Specimen Axial Strain Measured by Extensometers and Piston Displacement	37
A.7	Comparison of Specimen Axial Strain Measured by Extensometers and Piston Displacement	37
A.8	Comparison of Stress-Strain Curves Obtained with Different Control Mode	38
A.9	Effective Loading Rate of a Typical Test Performed with Displacement Control	38
A.10	Representative Stress-Strain Curve of the First Three Cycles	39
A.11	Stress--Strain Curves of Three Different Specimens During the First Cycle	40
A.12	Stress-Strain Curves of Three Different Specimens During the First Cycle	40
A.13	Stress-Strain Curves of Three Different Specimens During the First Cycle	41
A.14	Stress-Strain Curves of Three Different Specimens During the Second Half-cycle (Reload)	42
B.1	Typical Sonic Bench	45
B.2	Typical P Ultrasonic Transducer for Radial Measurements (Cylindrical Face as per Sample Diameter)	46
B.3	Typical P-wave Reception	47
B.4	Typical S-wave Reception	48
B.5	Compressional Wave Signal Recorded on UCS 1 Plug	51

Tables

A.1	Slurry Composition (in Percent by Weight of Cement)	33
A.2	Sedimentation Tests: Specimen Density (lb/gal) Along the Axial Direction	33
A.3	Calculated Young's Modulus (E) and Percent Changes Compared to Reference Values Calculated from Reload Segment (i.e. Second Ramp-up Section)	41

Contents

	Page
A.4 Calculated Poisson's Ratio (ν) and Percent Changes Compared to Reference Values Calculated from Reload Segment (i.e. Second Ramp-up Section)	42
A.5 Reported Average Mechanical Properties from Uniaxial Monotonic Compression Test	43
A.6 Reported Average Mechanical Properties from Uniaxial Cyclic Compression Test	43
A.7 Tensile Strength Test Results in Different Laboratories	44
B.1 Acoustic Testing on Cylindrical Specimens	51

Introduction

Understanding cement mechanical behavior allows oil and gas wells to be better designed for long-term integrity. This document outlines the terminology and common test procedures used to evaluate the mechanical behavior of cement. Testing protocols were compiled from several laboratories within the industry. Cooperative testing helped to check repeatability of testing techniques. Testing was also partially used to guide specifics within any recommended procedures. Curing conditions are dependent on the expected downhole conditions and testing temperature was only considered at atmospheric conditions. Only test methods that are widely used at this time are presented here, such as:

- uniaxial compression test,
- direct and indirect tension test,
- triaxial test,
- acoustic test.

The parameters derived from these tests include:

- unconfined compressive strength,
- Young's modulus,
- Poisson's ratio,
- bulk modulus,
- tensile strength,
- shear failure envelope,
- dynamic Young's modulus,
- dynamic Poisson's ratio.

Cement is used in wellbore construction to provide structural support and prevent annular fluid flow. Cement slurry is pumped in the annulus outside of the tubular. This slurry hydrates into a porous solid. It is important that cement placement is designed and executed successfully for the cemented annulus to initially protect and support the tubular string as well as provide zonal isolation. It is also important that the cement's hardened properties be designed correctly for it to continue to perform long-term. An initially good cement sheath can become compromised when subjected to stress changes experienced in a well (Thiercelin et al., 1998; Bosma et al., 1999; Bois et al., 2012). Changes in stress can result from changes in pressure, temperature, and other fluctuations such as formation compaction and creep caused through wellbore operations (drilling, stimulation, workover, etc.) and production (reservoir depletion). Therefore, it is important to characterize the hardened cement's properties to facilitate estimations of the stress–strain responses of the cement during wellbore operations. These estimates can provide the information necessary for designing a cement system that can withstand the thermal and mechanical stresses the cement sheath may have to endure during its useful life.

There are two common ways to determine the properties of hardened cement. One technique is via “static” measurements using a load frame that yields values of static Young's modulus, static Poisson's ratio, compressive strength, and tensile strength; the second technique uses acoustic measurements that give values of dynamic Young's modulus and dynamic Poisson's ratio. There is not a general correlation between static and dynamic measurements over a wide variety of cement compositions. However, correlations may be established for a limited range of compositions (Reddy et al., 2007). The two methods will be addressed separately with emphasis on the “static” method.

Mechanical Behavior of Cement

1 Scope

The mechanical testing described in this document will provide the necessary cement property data for use in cement sheath integrity simulations. The compressive strength tests and nondestructive sonic determination of compressive strength of cement defined in API 10B-2 do not provide suitable data for cement sheath integrity simulations. The methods of API 10B-2 provide information on the strength of cement to ensure that the cement is suitable for general well construction applications and to determine when sufficient strength is developed to allow well operations to continue.

2 Normative References

This document contains no normative references. For a list of documents and articles associated with this publication, see the Bibliography.

3 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

effective stress

The part of stress that impacts the mechanical behavior of a material body. Effective stress is calculated by subtracting the pore pressure from the total stress.

3.2

elasticity

The ability of a material to change its form as a result of an applied stress and return to its original form once the stress is removed.

3.3

failure criteria

The prediction or estimation of the failure of a material and the conditions under which it fails under the action of external loads.

3.4

isotropic

Identical properties in all directions.

3.5

load frame

A fixture used to test a material and measure its properties in compression or tension.

3.6

Mohr's circle

The two-dimensional graphical representation of the stresses in a material body.

3.7

Poisson's ratio

ν

A measure of a material's tendency to expand when it experiences compression in a perpendicular direction and can be further described as the negative ratio of transverse strain to axial strain.

3.8

stress

An applied pressure or system of pressures that strains or deforms a body.

3.9**strain**

The measure of the relative deformation that results from applied stress.

3.10**tensile strength**

σ_T

The maximum stress a material can withstand before failing, while being stretched.

3.11**triaxial**

Involves three axes. In the context of this document it refers to a material's response in three dimensions.

3.12**unconfined compressive strength**

UCS

The maximum stress a material can withstand before failing, while being compressed without confining pressure.

3.13**Young's modulus****modulus of elasticity**

E

Property of a linear elastic solid material that represents the material's stiffness, which can be further described as the ratio of stress to strain.

4 Expansion and Explanation of Defined Terms**4.1 General**

To facilitate communication and avoid confusion, it is important to provide clear definitions to the mechanical parameters discussed in this report. Note that only the parameters directly related to mechanical testing of cement are provided here. More details about the principals discussed in this document can be found by referring to a book on solid mechanics (Timoshenko and Goodier, 1970) and, more specifically, on rock mechanics, such as Jaeger et al. (2007), Fjaer et al. (2008), or Aadnoy and Looyeh (2011).

4.2 Stress**4.2.1 General**

At a point located in a solid, stress is defined by a force, F , and the surface, A , through which the force is acting on the solid.

First, define the load vector, p , which is defined as the ratio of the force, F , to the area, A , as given by:

$$p = \frac{1}{A}F \quad (1)$$

Second, look at what occurs at a specific point on the surface. The area is allowed to shrink down to the point, so that the magnitude A goes to zero. The load vector at that point can be defined by:

$$p(x, n) = \lim_{dA \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{dA}F \quad (2)$$